

DCP 480 and DCP 482 Working Group - Meeting 01

15 September 2026 at 13:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Donna Jameison [DJ]	IDCSL
Ian Goudge [IG]	SSE
Jenny Harvey [JH]	National Grid Electricity Distribution
Jo Brown [JB]	National Grid Electricity Distribution
Peter Waymont [PW]	UK Power Networks
Phil Mark [PM]	SSEN
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hollie Wild [HW] (Technical Secretariat) <i>Minutes drafted in retrospect</i>	ElectraLink

1. Administration

Recording

- 1.1 The Chair noted that the meeting is being recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

- 1.2 The Working Group agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

Actions

- 1.3 The Chair confirmed that all actions captured within this meeting will be recorded within the action log; this can be found in the Appendix below.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss the two Change Proposals that have been put forward and for the Working Group to agree next steps.

3. Overview and Discussion of the DCP 480 Change Proposal

- 3.1 The Chair invited the Proposer [PW] to provide an overview of the DCP 480 Change Proposal (CP) to the Working Group.
- 3.2 The purpose of the DCP 480 CP was to amend REP-900 and MM20064 to include instruction on how cancellation values (i.e. credit notes) should be shown. The reason for raising this CP was to ensure that there is consistency within the industry on how REP-900 cancellations are treated to negate the confusion when processing said cancellations.
- 3.3 Working Group members clarified that they had had some experience with this and so are supportive of the CP.
- 3.4 It was noted that this CP has been raised as a Standard CP and should be quite a quick fix following Party involvement during the consultation period. It was agreed that the standardised questions for the consultation would be needed, including; input on the solution, any suggestions for the legal text and any comments on the DCUSA General Objectives.
- 3.5 It was also confirmed that there would not be any interaction with any other Codes that would delay the implementation of this CP but a question around this would be included in the consultation to ensure that the Working Group has not missed anything.

4. Overview and Discussion of the DCP 482 Change Proposal

- 4.1 The Chair then invited the Proposer [PW] to provide an overview of the DCP 482 CP to the Working Group.
- 4.2 The purpose of DCP 482 is to include a description in DCUSA on how the REP-900 should be split if it exceeds the DIP limitation of 1MB. This CP has been raised following a change made by Elexon to the BSC whereby the DIP has a limitation of 1MB file size, and as the data describing each field is included in the file, some messages are becoming too large for the DIP. Therefore, DCP 482 is trying to mirror that change being made in the BSC to split the files.
- 4.3 Support for the CP was raised and it was confirmed that providing the files with a number, even if it says "File 1 of 1", would be helpful to ensure that no data is missing.
- 4.4 It was noted that the BSC change currently has an intended implementation date of February 2027 and so the Working Group need to be mindful of that, and it would be better if the implementation date for DCP 482 is not much later. It was also noted that the Working Group would need to be mindful of the BSC change in terms of the solution for their change to ensure consistency across the industry.
- 4.5 The Chair agreed to contact the BSC to obtain some clarity on which direction the change is heading in to ensure that the solution for DCP 482 can be consistent.

Action 01/01: The Chair to contact the BSC to obtain updates regarding the CP1648 change to ensure that the solution put forward for DCP 482 can be consistent.

- 4.6 It was agreed that a consultation will be issued to DCUSA Parties to obtain views on the solution for DCP 482, including whether the splits should be mandatory, if there should be a given number of invoices at which point it should be split, a question regarding any billing system changes (if any are required) and any general comments regarding legal text amendments and DCUSA objectives.

1. Agreed Next Steps

- 1.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

- The Secretariat to draft the two consultation documents and circulate those to Working Group members for comments by close of play on Thursday 17th September 2026;
- The Chair to contact the BSC to obtain an update on the CP1648 change to have an idea on the direction it is heading;
- The Working Group to provide feedback on the draft consultation documents, including informing the secretariat if they are happy with the document as drafted so that the secretariat can issue these to DCUSA Parties for comment; and
- It was agreed that the consultation documents will be issued for 10 Working Days by close of play on Thursday 24th September 2026 (or earlier if agreed by the Working Group).

Action 01/02: The Secretariat to produce draft consultation documents for both DCP 480 and DCP 482 and circulate to Working Group members by COP on 17th September 2026.

Action 01/03: Working Group members to provide feedback on the draft consultation documents, including providing support for the documents if they are happy with the drafting.

Action 01/04: The Secretariat to issue the finalised consultation documents to DCUSA Parties for 10 Working Days by close of play on Thursday 24th September 2026.

2. Any Other Business

- 2.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.
- 2.2 There were no other items raised.

3. Date of Next Meeting – TBD

- 3.1 The next Working Group has not been agreed, and a date will be decided once consultation responses have been received.

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	The Chair to contact the BSC to obtain updates regarding the CP1648 change to ensure that the solution put forward for DCP 482 can be consistent	Andy Green	
01/02	The Secretariat to produce draft consultation documents for both DCP 480 and DCP 482 and circulate to Working Group members by COP on 17 th September 2026	Andy Green	
01/03	Working Group members to provide feedback on the draft consultation documents, including providing support for the documents if they are happy with the drafting.	All WG members	
01/04	The Secretariat to issue the finalised consultation documents to DCUSA Parties for 10 Working Days by close of play on Thursday 24 th September 2026.	Andy Green	

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update

[illegible]